

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/697,067 | 10/30/2003 | William Anthony Harper | 8135 | | |
| 7: | 590 05/22/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| William Harper | | | GEHMAN, BRYON P | | |
| PMB 140 | | | | | |
| 16541 Redmond Way | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Redmond, WA 98052-4482 | | | 3728 | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/697,067 | HARPER, WILLIAM ANTHONY | HARPER, WILLIAM ANTHONY | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Bryon P. Gehman | 3728 | | | |

| zerere uner ming er un rippeur ziter | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Bryon P. Gehman | 3728 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | | |
| | a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 08 May 2006. A brief | in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 r | must be filed within tv | vo months of the | | | | |
| date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or | | | | | | | |
| appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep | ly must be filed within the time peri | od set forth in 37 CFF | ₹ 41.37(a). | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | الدور المراجع | h | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | because | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | TE below, | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | • • | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | • | | | | |
| (d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a | , – | ejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | t (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | allowable if submitted in a separate | e, timely filed amendr | nent canceling | | | | |
| 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🖾 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of | | | | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,15-21,27-39,41-47,53 and 54</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14, 22-26, 40, 4 | <u>8-52 and 55-57</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to | overcome all rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fa | ails to provide a | | | | |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: Bryon P. Gehman | | | | | | | |
| | | 2. y 5 | | | | | |

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3728

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The flexible nature of the packet and the insert providing structural stiffness to the packet as added to claim 1 have not been previously presented or considered with respect to the pending claims.